Light-cone expansion of heavy-to-light form factors

Wolfgang Lucha[a], Dmitri Melikhov[a,b], and Silvano Simula[c]
[a] *HEPHY, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Nikolsdorfergasse 18, A-1050, Vienna, Austria*
[b] *SINP, Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Russia*
[c] *INFN, Sezione di Roma III, Via della Vasca Navale 84, I-00146, Roma, Italy*

arXiv:0808.3791v1 [hep-ph] 27 Aug 2008

Abstract

We present the results of our recent systematic study of the light-cone expansion of heavy-to-light transition form factors in a model with scalar constituents [1]. We show that the higher-twist contributions (represented in this model by off-light-cone effects) all have the same behaviour in the $1/m_Q$ expansion. The suppression parameter of the higher-twist contributions compared to the lower-twist contributions is, in general, the inverse Borel parameter β. The only exception here is the case of the leading and the subleading twists: they are of the same order in $1/\beta$ because of an extra suppression of the leading-twist contribution to the form factor.

Light-cone (LC) sum rules [2] belong to the most widely used approaches for calculating hadron form factors in QCD. The form factor of an individual bound state obtained from a LC sum rule depends on two ingredients: (i) the field-theoretic calculation of the relevant correlator by constructing its LC expansion in terms of hadron distribution amplitudes (DA) of increasing twist, and (ii) the technical "extraction procedure" (cutting the correlator and determining the effective continuum threshold), which introduces a systematic error into the extracted form factor (for a recent study of the serious issue of the systematic errors, see [3]).

In QCD one can calculate only a few terms of the LC expansion of the correlator; it is impossible to study the full series and to estimate the typical size of the omitted higher-twist effects. Therefore, we have systematically analysed the LC expansion in a model with scalar constituents: a heavy "quark" field Q (of mass m_Q) and a light "quark" field φ (of mass m) interacting by exchange of a massless boson in ladder approximation [1]. The basic object here is the heavy-to-light correlator

$$\Gamma(p^2,q^2) \;=\; i\int d^4x\,\exp(ipx)\langle M(p')|T\left(\varphi(x)Q(x)Q(0)\varphi(0)\right)|0\rangle. \qquad (1)$$

One should (i) obtain the dispersion representation in p^2,

$$\Gamma(p^2,q^2)=\int\frac{ds}{s-p^2-i0}\Delta(s,q^2), \qquad (2)$$

and (ii) perform the Borel transform $1/(s-p^2)\to\exp\left[-s/(2m_Q\beta)\right]$ ($\beta\ll m_Q$) and relate the *cut* Borel image to the form factor of interest:

$$f_{M_Q}\,F_{M_Q\to M}(q^2)=\exp\left(\frac{M_Q^2}{2m_Q\beta}\right)\Gamma(\beta,q^2,s_0)\equiv\int\limits_{(m_Q+m)^2}^{s_0}ds\exp\left(-\frac{s-M_Q^2}{2m_Q\beta}\right)\Delta(s,q^2). \qquad (3)$$

Here, $s_0=(m_Q+z_{\rm eff})^2$ is the effective continuum threshold to be fixed by some criterion. For our analysis it is essential that $z_{\rm eff}$ is a constant which remains finite in the limit $m_Q\to\infty$.

Upon performing the factorization, the spectral density $\Delta(s,q^2)$ may be found via the LC expansion of the soft Bethe–Salpeter (BS) amplitude of the light-quark bound state $M(p')$. The LC expansion of the soft BS amplitude at the factorization scale λ may be written in the form[1]

$$\Psi_{\rm soft}(x,p'|\lambda) \equiv \langle M(p')|T\varphi(x)\varphi(0)|0\rangle_\lambda = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(x^2)^n \int\limits_0^1 d\xi \exp(-ip'x\xi)\phi_n(\xi,\lambda). \qquad (4)$$

Here $\phi_n(\xi,\lambda) = C_n(\lambda)(m^2)^n\xi(1-\xi)$, $n=0,1,2,\ldots$, are the DAs of increasing twist involving calculable functions $C_n(\lambda)$ of the factorization scale λ. The end-point behaviour of the DAs $\phi_n(\xi,\lambda)$ depends on the inter-"quark" interaction.

The LC expansion of the BS amplitude (4) generates the LC expansion of the correlator. Below, we give the corresponding expressions for $q^2=0$. For the uncut correlator ($s_0\to\infty$), which *not* related to the contribution of the individual bound state and accordingly *not* to the form factor of interest, neglecting terms of order $\mathrm{O}(M^2)$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(p^2|\lambda) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int d^4k\, d^4x\, \frac{\exp[i(p-k)x]}{m_Q^2-k^2-i0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(x^2)^n\int\limits_0^1 d\xi\exp(-ip'x\xi)\phi_n(\xi,\lambda)\\ &= \int\limits_0^1 \frac{d\xi\,\phi_0(\xi,\lambda)}{m_Q^2-p^2(1-\xi)} - 8m_Q^2\int\limits_0^1\frac{d\xi\,\phi_1(\xi,\lambda)}{\Big[m_Q^2-p^2(1-\xi)\Big]^3}+\cdots \equiv \Gamma_0+\Gamma_1+\cdots. \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

The Borelized uncut correlator takes the following form:

$$\Gamma(\beta|\lambda) \simeq \exp\left(-m_Q/2\beta\right)\int\limits_0^1\frac{d\xi}{1-\xi}\left[\phi_0(\xi,\lambda)-\frac{\phi_1(\xi,\lambda)}{\beta^2(1-\xi)^2}+\cdots\right]\exp\left(-\frac{m_Q\xi}{2\beta(1-\xi)}\right).$$

Obviously, the main contribution to the integral arises from the end-point region $\xi\simeq\beta/m_Q$. For this uncut correlator the behaviour of the contributions of increasing twist is found to be

$$\Gamma_0(\beta)\sim\exp\left(-\frac{m_Q}{2\beta}\right)\frac{\beta^2}{m_Q^2},\qquad \Gamma_1(\beta)\sim\exp\left(-\frac{m_Q}{2\beta}\right)\frac{m^2}{m_Q^2},$$
$$\Gamma_{n+1}(\beta)\sim\Gamma_n(\beta)\left(\frac{m^2}{\beta^2}\right)^n,\qquad n=0,1,2,\ldots.$$

Consequently, in the *uncut* correlator contributions of higher twist are suppressed by powers of m^2/β^2 compared to those of lower twist.

For the cut correlator, which *is* related to the form factor of interest, one should take care when applying the cut in the dispersion representation, which leads to surface terms [1]. The surface terms modify the leading behaviour of Γ_0 while leaving the leading behaviour of the higher-twist contributions unchanged:

$$\Gamma_0(\beta,z_{\rm eff})\sim\exp\left(-\frac{m_Q}{2\beta}\right)\frac{z_{\rm eff}^2}{m_Q^2},\qquad \Gamma_1(\beta,z_{\rm eff})\sim\exp\left(-\frac{m_Q}{2\beta}\right)\frac{m^2}{m_Q^2},$$
$$\Gamma_{n+1}(\beta,z_{\rm eff})\sim\Gamma_n(\beta,z_{\rm eff})\left(\frac{m^2}{\beta^2}\right)^n,\qquad n=1,2,3,\ldots.$$

[1] In the ladder-approximation model with scalar constituents, one may obtain the correlator Γ via the BS amplitude without performing the factorization; also the LC contribution to the correlator Γ_0 can be found. However, any proper treatment of the full LC expansion of the correlator still requires factorization.

Note that the parameter $z_{\rm eff}$ here is a fixed parameter (in our model $\sim m$, in QCD $\sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$) dictated by dynamics. Thus, the leading and the subleading twists are of the same order of magnitude. For higher twists, the twist hierarchy is preserved in the cut correlator related to the form factor, similar to the case of the uncut correlator.

In [1] we have calculated, without invoking the LC expansion, the full correlator by use of the known solution for the BS amplitude in ladder approximation and, separately, the LC contribution to the correlator. We found that numerically the leading twist provides about 70% of the full correlator. The contributions of the higher twists (mainly, of the subleading twist), however, stay at the order of 30% for all values of the parameter β and of the mass m_Q of the heavy "quark."

In summary, in the LC sum-rule approach to heavy-to-light form factors near $q^2 = 0$ the contributions of all twists exhibit the same behaviour in the $1/m_Q$ expansion. Higher-twist contributions are suppressed by powers of the parameter $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/\beta$, with the exception of the leading- and the subleading-twist contributions, which exhibit the same behaviour in $1/\beta$. However, since the ground-state contribution is enhanced for small β, one would like to know the correlator for the smallest possible values of β, where the inclusion of higher-twist effects is mandatory. The off-LC and other higher-twist effects in weak decays of heavy mesons in QCD deserve a detailed investigation: for the LC sum-rule method the corresponding DAs are just external objects, which should be provided by other nonperturbative approaches. In particular, the combination of LC sum rules with techniques based on bound-state equations and the constituent quark picture [4] (which successfully describe heavy-meson decays) may prove to be promising.

Acknowledgements. We thank the organizers for the invitation to this highly interesting meeting. D. M. was supported by FWF project P20573 and by RFBR project 07-02-00551.

References

[1] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov, and S. Simula, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 096002 (2007); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71**, 545 (2008).

[2] I. I. Balitsky, V. M. Braun, and A. V. Kolesnichenko, Nucl. Phys. B **312**, 509 (1989); V. M. Braun and I. Filyanov, Z. Phys. C **44**, 157 (1989); V. I. Chernyak and I. R. Zhitnitsky, Nucl. Phys. B **345**, 137 (1990).

[3] W. Lucha, D. Melikhov, and S. Simula, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 036002 (2007); Phys. Lett. B **657**, 148 (2007); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71**, 1461 (2008); W. Lucha and D. Melikhov, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 054009 (2006); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **70**, 891 (2007).

[4] V. Anisovich et al., Nucl. Phys. A **544**, 747 (1992); D. Melikhov, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2460 (1996); Eur. Phys. J. direct C **4**, 2 (2002) [hep-ph/0110087]; D. Melikhov and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014006 (2000); D. Melikhov and S. Simula, Eur. Phys. J. C **37**, 437 (2004).